



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/630,256	07/31/2000	Christopher L. Hamlin	K35A0635	5608	
26332	26332 7590 07/12/2004			EXAMINER	
WESTERN DIGITAL CORP.			ZIA, MOSSADEQ		
20511 LAKE FOREST DRIVE C205 - INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
LAKE FOREST, CA 92630			2134	4	
			DATE MAILED: 07/12/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A

· ·		٨			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/630,256	HAMLIN, CHRISTOPHER L.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mossadeq Zia	2134			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 J	uly 2000.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	· _				
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 and 17-31 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 and 17-31 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	its have been received. Its have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	v (PTO.413)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) [Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4.5.	Paper No(s)/Mail D				
C Cotton and Today of Office					

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 10-15, 17, 26-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Patent No. 5677952 Blakley, III et al.
- 3. Regarding claims 1 and 15, Blakley shows a disk drive comprising:
- (a) a disk for storing data, the disk comprising a public area for storing plaintext data and a pristine area for storing encrypted data (Blakley, col. 6, line 67);
- (b) a head for reading the encrypted data from the pristine area (it is the interpretation of this examiner that encrypted data is read from an area or disk sector where the data is stored. It is considered pristine because it cannot be read without password authorization, (Blakley, col. 6, line 4-5, 23-24) of the disk 4 (head is feature of a disk drive device, see definition sited from MS Computer Dictionary));
- (c) a control system for controlling access to the pristine area of the disk (disk controller, Blakley, fig. 3, label 35);
- (d) authentication circuitry for authenticating a request received from an external entity to access the pristine area of the disk and for enabling the control system if the request is authenticated (operating system, Blakley, fig. 3, label 78, 80, col. 6, 23-24);

Art Unit: 2134

(e) a secret drive key (secret key, dependent on the user password, Blakley, col. 5, line 45-47); and

- (f) decryption circuitry, responsive to the secret drive key, for decrypting the encrypted data stored in the pristine area of the disk to generate decrypted data (device driver, Blakley, col. 4, line 66-67, col. 5, line 1, fig. 3, label 76).
- 4. Regarding claim 10, Blakley shows the disk drive of claim 1 above, and further show the encrypted data comprises encrypted message data (Blakley, col. 10, line 18-19).
- 5. Regarding claim 11, Blakley shows the disk drive of claim 1 above, and further show the disk drive further comprises encryption circuitry for encrypting plaintext data into the encrypted data stored in the pristine area (Blakley, col. 5, line 27-28, col. 6, line 66).
- 6. Regarding claim 12, Blakley shows the disk drive of claim 1 above, and further show:
- (a) the disk further comprises embedded servo sectors comprising servo bursts (read/write, col. 5, line 26-28);
- (b) the control system comprises a servo control system responsive to the embedded servo sectors (disk controller, Blakley, fig. 3, label 35); and
- (c) the authentication circuitry enables the servo control system (operating system, Blakley, fig. 3, label 78, 80, col. 6, 23-24).
- 7. Regarding claim 13, Blakley shows the disk drive of claim 12, wherein:
- (a) the servo bursts are written to the disk in encrypted form (write, Blakley, col. 5, line 26-28, 39-40); and
- (b) the authentication circuitry enables the servo control system to decrypt the servo bursts (read, Blakley, col. 5, line 26-28, col. 6, line 35-36).

Art Unit: 2134

8. Regarding claim 14, Blakley shows the disk drive of claim 13, and further show:

- (a) the servo bursts are written to the disk with additive noise generated from a pseudo random sequence (it is this examiners understanding that this is an inherent behavior driven by the data stream written to disk via the disk drive mechanism, where in this case the data being written is effected by the encryption key);
- (b) the pseudo random sequence is generated from a polynomial (pseudorandom generator, col. 8, line 40);
- (c) the servo control system uses the polynomial to decrypt the servo bursts (read, Blakley, col. 5, line 26-28, col. 6, line 35-36); and
- (d) the authentication circuitry provides the polynomial to the servo control system (password determines secret key, Blakley, col. 7, line 43-47).
- 9. Regarding claim 17, Blakley show a method of processing a request received by a disk drive from an external entity to access encrypted data stored in a pristine area of a disk, the method comprising the steps of:
- (a) authenticating the request to access the pristine area and enabling access to the pristine area if the request is authenticated (Blakley, col. 6, line 21-24, 27-28);
 - (b) reading the encrypted data stored in the pristine area (Blakley, col. 7, line 15-20); and
- (c) decrypting the encrypted data using a secret drive key within the disk drive to generate decrypted data (Blakley, col. 11, line 45-49).
- 10. Regarding claim 26, see reasoning for claim 10 above.
- 11. Regarding claim 27, see reasoning for claim 11 above.

Art Unit: 2134

12. Regarding claim 28, Blakley show method as recited in claim 17 above, an further show the disk comprises embedded servo sectors comprising servo bursts, the method further comprising the steps of

- (a) servoing a head over the disk in response to the embedded servo sectors (disk controller, Blakley, fig. 3, label 35); and
- (b) enabling servoing in the pristine area if the request is authenticated read, (Blakley, col. 5, line 26-28, col. 6, line 35-36).
- 13. Regarding claim 29, see reasoning in claim 13 above.
- 14. Regarding claim 30, see reasoning in claim 14 above.
- Regarding claim 31, Blakley show a method of processing a request received by a disk drive from an external entity to access data stored on a disk, the disk comprising a public area for storing plaintext data and a pristine area for storing encrypted data, the method comprising the steps of:
- (a) decrypting the encrypted data stored in the pristine area of the disk using a secret drive key within the disk drive to generate decrypted data (Blakley, col. 4, line 66-67, col. 5, line 1, fig. 3, label 76); and
- (b) using the decrypted data to authenticate the request received from the external entity before allowing access to the disk (Blakley, fig. 3, label 78, 80, col. 6, 23-24).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

16. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2134

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 17. Claims 9, 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Patent No. 5677952 Blakley, III et al. in view of Patent No. 5235641 Nozawa et al.
- 18. Regarding claim 9, Blakley shows the disk drive of claim 1 above, but fails to show wherein the encrypted data comprises encrypted key data for decrypting an encrypted message.

However Nozawa et al. teach by causing the cryptographic device on the upper rank apparatus side to perform complicated and high-degree encryption of the data key, the encrypted data key and ordinary data encrypted on the basis of the data key in the external storage device can be safely and easily stored in one and the same recording medium. (Nozawa, col. 9, line 38-43).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Blakley as per teaching of Nozawa et al. such that the data key and the data encrypted by the data key can be managed safely and easily (Nozawa, col. 9, line 44-45).

19. Regarding claim 25, Blakley shows the disk drive of claim 17 above, but fails to show wherein the encrypted data comprises encrypted key data for decrypting an encrypted message.

However Nozawa et al. teach by causing the cryptographic device on the upper rank apparatus side to perform complicated and high-degree encryption of the data key, the encrypted data key and ordinary data encrypted on the basis of the data key in the external storage device can be safely and easily stored in one and the same recording medium. (Nozawa, col. 9, line 38-43).

Art Unit: 2134

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Blakley as per teaching of Nozawa et al. such that the data key and the data encrypted by the data key can be managed safely and easily (Nozawa, col. 9, line 44-45).

- 20. Claims 2-8, 18-24 are rejected under **35 U.S.C. 103(a)** as being unpatentable over Patent No. 5677952 Blakley, III et al. in view of Patent No. EP 816967 A2 Scott et al.
- 21. Regarding claim 2, Blakley shows the disk drive of claim 1 above, but fail to show the encrypted data comprises encrypted authentication data.

However, Scott et al. shows method of creating a secure file by receiving an indication that an entity desires to perform a file access operation on a file of the data processing system; obtaining a private key of the entity; receiving data of the file to be created; determining a checksum (authentication data) of the file; encrypting the checksum using the private key; and creating the file and an associated affidavit that includes the encrypted checksum (Scott, col. 2, line 30-36).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Blakley et al. as per teaching of Scott et al. to include the method to gain the advantage of an automatic and transparent method of checking and authenticating software and data in a computer system.

- 22. Regarding claim 3, Blakley shows disk drive of claim 2 above, and further show the authentication circuitry is responsive to the decrypted data (Blakley, col. 7, line 15-20).
- Regarding claim 4, Blakley shows the disk drive of claim 2 above, and further show the encrypted authentication data comprises encrypted user authentication data (password, Blakley, col. 5, line 66-67).

Art Unit: 2134

24. Regarding claim 5, Blakley shows the disk drive of claim 2 above, and further show the encrypted authentication data comprises encrypted device authentication data for authenticating a device, the device comprising a unique device ID configured during manufacture of the device (Blakley, col. 11, line 45-49).

- 25. Regarding claim 6, Blakley shows the disk drive of claim 2 above, and further show the encrypted authentication data comprises encrypted information for implementing a challenge and response verification sequence device (queries, Blakley, col. 5, line 43-44).
- 26. Regarding claim 7, Blakley shows the disk drive of claim 2 above, and further show the encrypted authentication data comprises encrypted message authentication data (checksum, Scott, col. 2, line 34).
- 27. Regarding claim 8, Blakley shows the disk drive of claim 7 above, and further show the encrypted authentication data comprises encrypted key data (secret or private key) for generating a message authentication code (Blakley, col. 5, line 66-67, Scott, col. 7, line 23-24).
- 28. Regarding claim 18, Blakley shows the disk drive of claim 2 above, but **fail to show** the encrypted authentication data comprises encrypted message authentication data.

However, Scott et al. shows method of creating a secure file by receiving an indication that an entity desires to perform a file access operation on a file of the data processing system; obtaining a private key of the entity; receiving data of the file to be created; determining a checksum (message authentication data) of the file; encrypting the checksum using the private key; and creating the file and an associated affidavit that includes the encrypted checksum (Scott, col. 2, line 30-36).

Art Unit: 2134

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Blakley et al. as per teaching of Scott et al. to include the method to gain the advantage of an automatic and transparent method of checking and authenticating software and data in a computer system.

- 29. Regarding claim 19, Blakley and Scott show method as recited in claim 18 above, and further show wherein the step of authenticating is responsive to the decrypted data (Scott, col. 7, 29-30, Blakley, col. 6, line 32, 35-36).
- 30. Regarding claim 20, Blakley and Scott show method as recited in claim 18 above, and further show the encrypted authentication data comprises encrypted user authentication data (password, Blakley, col. 5, line 66-67).
- 31. Regarding claim 21, see reasoning for claim 5 above.
- 32. Regarding claim 22, see reasoning for claim 6 above.
- 33. Regarding claim 23, see reasoning for claim 7 above.
- 34. Regarding claim 24, see reasoning for claim 8 above.

Remarks

- 35. Applicant's arguments filed 4/22/04 on pages 8-11 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
 - Regarding claim 1, Applicant contests that Blakley does not disclose an authentication
 facility within a disk drive. This examiner respectfully disagrees. By changing the
 location of the authentication facility within the computer in Blakley, which houses the

Art Unit: 2134

disk drive, closer to the disk drive does not change the behavior of the system described in Blakley. Additionally, Applicant contests that Blakley does not disclose a disk drive that comprises a secret drive key. This examiner respectfully disagrees. Blakley's system does disclose that a key is derived from the information dependent on user password, which is a secret stored in the device along with a unique identifier of the device (Blakley, col. 46-50, col. 6, line 21-23), thusly the secret key, in essence, is stored within the disk drive.

- Regarding claim 2, Applicant contests that Scott discloses decrypt the authentication data
 and to perform the authentication process in the host computer rather than in the disk
 drive. This examiner respectfully disagrees. By changing the location of the
 authentication facility within the host computer in Scott, which houses the disk drive,
 closer to the disk drive does not change the behavior of the system described in Scott.
- Regarding claim 11, Applicant contests that Blakley does not disclose the implementation
 of the encryption facility within the disk drive. This examiner respectfully disagrees. By
 changing the location of the encryption facility within the host computer, which houses
 the disk drive, closer to the disk drive does not change the behavior of the system
 described in Blakley.
- Regarding claim 12, Applicant contests that Blakley does not disclose the implementation
 of an authentication facility within the disk drive for enabling the servo control system.
 This examiner respectfully disagrees. As stated above, by changing the location of the
 authentication facility within the host computer in Blakley, which houses the disk drive,
 closer to the disk drive does not change the behavior of the system described in Blakley.

Art Unit: 2134

Furthermore, the functionality of read/write after authentication in Blakely implies that the mechanical functionalities of the disk drive are used to access the encrypted data only after successful authentication. It is well know in the arts that said mechanisms, such as the servo control system, are an integral part of disk drive systems. Thusly, the device driver to carry out the operations that enable the servo control system translates the read/write requests.

- Regarding claim 13,14, Applicant contests that Blakley does not disclose to encrypt servo bursts written in the embedded servo sectors, let alone to encrypt the servo bursts using additive noise generated from a pseudo random sequence. This examiner respectfully disagrees. This behavior is inherent to the disk drive system. The servo bursts are the properties of the disk heads read/write function. The Blakley's secret key (which is produced by a pseudo random function, col. 5, line 60-64) that is interacting with the plain text data being written on to the disk. The interacting result is interpreted as additive noise.
- Regarding claim 15, 31, Applicant contests that Blakley does not disclose identification data used to authenticate a particular user is not stored in an encrypted form. This examiner respectfully disagrees. The identification data used to authenticate the user (password) is verified by checking a one-way (encrypted form) function against information stored in the computing device (Blakley, col. 5, line 1-7, col. 6, line 20-23).

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/630,256

Art Unit: 2134

36. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Patent No. 5,465,183 Hattori: teaches: teaches disk drive mechanism.

Patent No. 5,583,712 Brunelle: teaches disk drive mechanism such as the servo and its relation to read/write functionality.

37. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mossadeq Zia whose telephone number is 703-305-8425. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday between 8:30am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Morse can be reached on 703-308-4789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/630,256

Art Unit: 2134

Page 13

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mossadeq Zia Examiner Art Unit 2134

mz 6/29/04

GREGORY MORSE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100